arXiv:1208.0183v1 [hep-th] 1 Aug 2012

On gravitational interactions for massive higher spins in AdS_3

I.L. Buchbinder[a*], T.V. Snegirev[a†], Yu.M. Zinoviev[b‡]

[a] *Department of Theoretical Physics,*
Tomsk State Pedagogical University,
Tomsk 634061, Russia

[b] *Institute for High Energy Physics,*
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142280, Russia

Abstract

In this paper we investigate gravitational interactions of massive higher spin fields in three dimensional AdS space with arbitrary value of cosmological constant including flat Minkowski space. We use frame-like gauge description for such massive fields adopted to three-dimensional case. At first, we carefully analyze the procedure of switching on gravitational interactions in the linear approximation on the example of massive spin-3 field and then proceed with the generalization to the case of arbitrary integer spin field. As a result we construct a cubic interaction vertex linear in spin-2 field and quadratic in higher spin field on AdS_3 background. As in the massless case the vertex does not contain any higher derivative corrections to the Lagrangian and/or gauge transformations. Thus, even after switching on gravitational interactions, one can freely consider any massless or partially massless limits as well as the flat one.

---

[*] joseph@tspu.edu.ru
[†] snegirev@tspu.edu.ru
[‡] Yurii.Zinoviev@ihep.ru

Introduction

Three dimensional higher spin field theories that appear to be much simpler than the higher dimensional ones (for some reviews on higher spin theories see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]) may provide nice playground to gain some useful experience. One of the main reasons is that, contrary to the situation in $d \geq 4$ dimensions [6, 7, 8], in three dimensions it is not necessary to consider infinite number of fields to construct consistent closed theory [9, 10, 11]. Also it is important that in the frame-like formalism many such theories can be considered as Chern-Simons ones corresponding to some non-compact algebras [12, 10, 11],

Till now most of works on the three dimensional higher spin filed theories were devoted to construction of interaction for massless fields [13, 14, 11] or parity odd topologically massive ones [15, 16, 17]. But massless higher spin fields in three dimensions being pure gauge do not have any physical degrees of freedom, while topologically massive one though do contain physical degrees of freedom but due to their specific properties can hardly be generalized to higher dimensions. That is why we think that it is important to investigate parity even interacting theories for massive higher spin fields[1]. On the one hand, such theories will certainly have physical interest by themselves, e.g. for study the various aspects of duality, and from the other hand we may expect that they admit higher dimensional generalizations. And it seems natural to begin such investigations with the gravitational interactions that play fundamental role in any higher spin theory.

In this paper we consider gravitational interactions for massive higher spin fields in AdS_3 space in the linear approximation. It means that we construct a cubic interacting vertex quadratic in massive higher spin field and linear in gravitational field on AdS_3 background. We use frame-like gauge invariant formalism for massive fields [21, 22] adopted to three dimensions elaborated in our recent paper [23]. To our opinion such formalism is the most convenient one for investigations of massive higher spin interactions. From one hand, its gauge invariance allows one to extend constructive approach to investigation of massless higher spin interactions to the case of arbitrary combination of massless and/or massive one with the interaction of massless gravity with massive higher spins being one important example. From the other hand, such formalism nicely works in AdS space with arbitrary value of cosmological constant including flat Minkowski space and this allows one to consider all possible massless and partially massless limits that exist for given field [24, 25, 26, 21] as well as the flat limit.

The work is organized as follows. In Section 1 as an illustration of general technics that we will use for massive fields in subsequent sections we provide a couple of simplest examples of interacting massless theories. Namely, we consider massless $d = 3$ gravity itself and the model of its interaction with massless spin-3 field for the first time constructed in [11]. Then in Section 2 we carefully analyze gravitational interactions for massive spin 3 field. The main result here that even in massive case it is possible to switch on gravitational interaction in the linear approximation, e.g. to construct the cubic vertex linear in gravitational field and quadratic in massive higher spin field, without any need to introduce higher derivative corrections to the Lagrangian and/or gauge transformations typical for higher dimensional theories [27, 28]. This, in turn, implies that even after switching on gravitational interactions (at least in the linear approximation) one can freely consider any massless or partially massless limits as well as the flat one. At the same time we argue that contrary to the massless case the system gravity plus massive spin-3 is not closed so that to construct closed consistent theory one has to introduce other fields and/or interactions. Than in Section 3 we generalize these results to the case of arbitrary integer spin and obtain the corresponding cubic vertex. As in the spin-3 case, this vertex also does not contain any higher derivative corrections and admit non-singular massless, partially massless and flat limits. It was clear from the very beginning that the system under consideration can not be closed (simply because massless theory is not closed) but let us stress that that the results of linear approximation do not depend on the presence of any other fields so that the structure of cubic vertex is model independent.

---

[1] Lagrangian formulation for $d = 3$ massive higher spin fields has been given for the first time in [18]. The aspects of massive higher spin fields in AdS_3 are also discussed in [19] and [20].

Notations and conventions. We use Greek letters for the world indices and Latin letters for the local ones. We work in $(A)dS$ space with arbitrary value of cosmological constant Λ and use the notation D_μ for AdS covariant derivative normalized so that

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]\xi^a = \lambda^2 e_{[\mu}{}^a \xi_{\nu]}, \qquad \lambda^2 = -\Lambda$$

where $e_\mu{}^a$ plays the role of (non-dynamical) frame of $(A)dS$ background. Also to write the expression in totally antisymmetric form on the world indices (which is equivalent to an external product of 1-forms) we will often use the notation

$$\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} = e^\mu{}_a e^\nu{}_b - e^\mu{}_b e^\nu{}_a$$

and similarly for $\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\}$.

1 Massless case

In this section we present a couple of most simple examples of interacting massless theories in AdS_3. The reasons for its inclusion are twofold. From one hand they give simple illustration of general technics that we will use for the massive cases in the subsequent sections. From the other one, it is instructive to compare massless and massive cases because in some aspects they appear to be drastically different.

1.1 Gravity

Free massless spin-2 filed in AdS_3 space is described by the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \omega_\mu{}^a \omega_\mu{}^b - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu h_\alpha{}^a + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a h_\nu{}^b \tag{1}$$

which is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0 \omega_\mu{}^a \eta^a + \lambda^2 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \xi^b, \qquad \delta_0 h_\mu{}^a D_\mu \xi^a + \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \eta^b \tag{2}$$

Now if we introduce two gauge invariant objects (curvature and torsion)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= D_\mu \omega_{\nu]}{}^a + \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} h_{\nu]}{}^b \\ T_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= D_{[\mu} h_{\nu]}{}^a + \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} \omega_{\nu]}{}^b \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

then the Lagrangian can be rewritten as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[\omega_\mu{}^a T_{\nu\alpha}{}^a + h_\mu{}^a R_{\nu\alpha}{}^a] \tag{4}$$

Let us introduce new variables

$$\hat\omega_\mu{}^a = \omega_\mu{}^a + \lambda h_\mu{}^a, \qquad \hat h_\mu{}^a = \omega_\mu{}^a - \lambda h_\mu{}^a \tag{5}$$

and their corresponding gauge invariant objects

$$\hat R_{\mu\nu}{}^a = D_{[\mu}\hat\omega_{\nu]}{}^a + \lambda\varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab}\hat\omega_{\nu]}{}^b, \qquad \hat T_{\mu\nu}{}^a = D_{[\mu}\hat h_{\nu]}{}^a - \lambda\varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab}\hat h_{\nu]}{}^b \tag{6}$$

Then the Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{8\lambda}[\hat\omega_\mu{}^a \hat R_{\nu\alpha}{}^a - \hat h_\mu{}^a \hat T_{\nu\alpha}{}^a] \tag{7}$$

Thus the Lagrangian now consists of two independent parts each one containing only one field and is invariant under its own gauge transformation

$$\delta_0\hat\omega_\mu{}^a = D_\mu\hat\eta^a + \lambda\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab}\hat\eta^b, \qquad \delta_0\hat h_\mu{}^a = D_\mu\hat\xi^a - \lambda\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab}\hat\xi^b \tag{8}$$

where

$$\hat\eta = \eta^a + \lambda\xi^a, \qquad \hat\xi^a = \eta^a - \lambda\xi^a \tag{9}$$

Now if we suppose that such possibility to separate variables exists after switching on interaction as well we can greatly simplify all calculations working with only one field and one gauge transformation. In components the free Lagrangian for the field $\hat\omega_\mu{}^a$ has the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{4\lambda}[\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat\omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu\hat\omega_\alpha{}^a - \lambda \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \hat\omega_\mu{}^a\hat\omega_\nu{}^b] \tag{10}$$

To consider possible self interactions we begin with linear approximation (i.e. cubic terms in the Lagrangian and linear terms in gauge transformations). In this case the only possible cubic vertex and corresponding correction to gauge transformations look like:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = a_0 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat\omega_\mu{}^a\hat\omega_\nu{}^b\hat\omega_\alpha{}^c, \qquad \delta_1\hat\omega_\mu{}^a = \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}\hat\omega_\mu{}^b\hat\eta^c \tag{11}$$

Gauge invariance in the linear approximation requires that

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 = 0$$

and gives us

$$a_0 = -\frac{\alpha_0}{12\lambda} \tag{12}$$

Now if we try to go beyond linear approximation we face the important fact that in the frame-like formalism in $d = 3$ there are no any quartic vertices for all spins $s \geq 2$. Happily, in this particular case it is easy to check that $\delta_1\mathcal{L}_1 = 0$ so that we have closed consistent theory without any need to introduce some other fields. The resulting Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4\lambda}[\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat\omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu\hat\omega_\alpha{}^a - \lambda \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \hat\omega_\mu{}^a\hat\omega_\nu{}^b + \frac{\alpha_0}{3} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat\omega_\mu{}^a\hat\omega_\nu{}^b\hat\omega_\alpha{}^c] \tag{13}$$

is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta_0 \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a = D_\mu \hat{\eta}^a + \lambda \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \hat{\eta}^b + \alpha_0 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^b \hat{\eta}^c \tag{14}$$

In turn, this gauge invariance implies that there exists deformation for curvature

$$\hat{\tilde{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^a = \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^a + \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\omega}_{[\mu}{}^b \hat{\omega}_{\nu]}{}^c \tag{15}$$

which transforms covariantly

$$\delta \hat{\tilde{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^a = \alpha_0 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\tilde{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^b \hat{\eta}^c \tag{16}$$

In-particular, this means that this model can be considered as Chern-Simons theory with the algebra $O(2,1) \approx SL(2)$ [12]. Note however that the interacting Lagrangian can not be written in the form similar to the free one because

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a \hat{\tilde{R}}_{\nu\alpha}{}^a = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a D_\nu \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^a - \lambda \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a \hat{\omega}_\nu{}^b + \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a \hat{\omega}_\nu{}^b \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c$$

but the equations of motion following from the Lagrangian are equivalent to

$$\hat{\tilde{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^a = 0$$

Now we can easily return back to initial variables taking the complete Lagrangian in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\hat{\omega}) - \mathcal{L}(\hat{h}) \tag{17}$$

Note that in terms of initial variables each part contains parity odd higher derivative terms and only when coefficients are equal these terms are canceled and we obtain parity even theory with no more than two derivatives. Such theory is nothing else but usual $d = 3$ gravity in AdS background with the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \omega_\mu{}^a \omega_\mu{}^b - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu h_\alpha{}^a + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a h_\nu{}^b - \\ & - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [\omega_\mu{}^a \omega_\nu{}^b h_\alpha{}^c + \frac{\lambda^2}{3} h_\mu{}^a h_\nu{}^b h_\alpha{}^c] \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \omega_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \eta^a + \lambda^2 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{abc} \xi^b + \alpha_0 \varepsilon^{abc} [\omega_\mu{}^b \eta^c + \lambda^2 h_\mu{}^b \xi^c] \\ \delta h_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \xi^a + \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \eta^b + \alpha_0 \varepsilon^{abc} [h_\mu{}^b \eta^c + \omega_\mu{}^b \xi^c] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

At the same time in this formulation it is equivalent to Chern-Simons theory with the algebra $O(2,2) \approx O(2,1) \times O(1,2) \approx SL(2) \times SL(2)$ [12, 11]. Note here that it is the separation of variables that we use to simplify construction that requires non-zero λ. As can be clearly seen from the last two formulas in the complete theory nothing prevent us from considering the flat limit $\lambda \to 0$. The resulting theory (it is just a usual $d = 3$ gravity in a flat Minkowski background) can still be considered as a Chern-Simons one but with the algebra isomorphic to three-dimensional Poincare one.

1.2 Spin-3 field

Free massless spin-3 field in AdS_3 space can be described by the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = - \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^{ac} \Omega_\nu{}^{bc} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} - \lambda^2 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \Phi_\nu{}^{bc} \tag{20}$$

where both $\Omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ and $\Phi_\mu{}^{ab}$ are symmetric and traceless on local indices. This Lagrangian is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} = D_\mu \eta^{ab} - \lambda^2 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \xi^{b)c}, \qquad \delta_0 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} = D_\mu \xi^{ab} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \eta^{b)c} \tag{21}$$

where both η^{ab} and ξ^{ab} are symmetric and traceless. As in the spin 2 case the Lagrangian can be written in terms of gauge invariant objects (which we will call curvatures)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = D_\mu \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{ab} - \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{b)c}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = D_{[\mu} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{ab} - \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{b)c} \tag{22}$$

in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} \mathcal{H}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab} + \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} \mathcal{G}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab}] \tag{23}$$

As in the spin-2 case we can introduce new variables

$$\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} = \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} + \lambda \Phi_\mu{}^{ab}, \qquad \hat{\Phi}_\mu{}^{ab} = \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} - \lambda \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} \tag{24}$$

and rewrite the free Lagrangian in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{8\lambda} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab} - \hat{\Phi}_\mu{}^{ab} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab}] \tag{25}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = D_{[\mu} \hat{\Omega}_{\nu]}{}^{ab} - \lambda \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \hat{\Omega}_{\nu]}{}^{b)c}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = D_{[\mu} \hat{\Phi}_{\nu]}{}^{ab} + \lambda \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \hat{\Phi}_{\nu]}{}^{b)c} \tag{26}$$

Again each half of the Lagrangian contains one field only and is invariant under one gauge transformation

$$\delta_0 \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} = D_\mu \hat{\eta}^{ab} - \lambda \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \hat{\eta}^{b)c}, \qquad \delta_0 \hat{\Phi}_\mu{}^{ab} = D_\mu \hat{\xi}^{ab} + \lambda \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \hat{\xi}^{b)c} \tag{27}$$

where now

$$\hat{\eta}^{ab} = \eta^{ab} + \lambda \xi^{ab}. \qquad \hat{\xi}^{ab} = \eta^{ab} - \lambda \xi^{ab} \tag{28}$$

Thus assuming that the possibility to separate variables exists after switching on interaction we can work with one field and take care on one gauge transformation. The component form for $\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}$ field Lagrangian looks as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{4\lambda} [\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{ab} - 2\lambda \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ac} \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bc}] \tag{29}$$

Now let us turn to the gravitational interactions for such particles. The only possible cubic vertex now has the form

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = a_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ad} \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bd} \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c \tag{30}$$

while corresponding corrections to gauge transformations can be written as follows

$$\delta_1 \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} = \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{cd(a} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{b)c} \hat{\eta}^d + \alpha_2 \varepsilon^{cd(a} \hat{\eta}^{b)c} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^d, \qquad \delta_1 \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a = \alpha_3 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{bd} \hat{\eta}^{cd} \tag{31}$$

Now consider gauge invariance in the linear approximation that requires $\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 = 0$. For $\hat{\eta}^a$ transformations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 &= -2a_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} D_\mu\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{ad}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bd}\hat{\eta}^c + 2a_1\lambda\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}^b \\
\delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} D_\mu\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{ad}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bd}\hat{\eta}^c - \alpha_1\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}^b
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$a_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2\lambda}$$

At the same time for $\hat{\eta}^{ab}$ transformations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 &= 2a_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [D_\mu\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{ad}\hat{\eta}^{bd}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c - \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ad}\hat{\eta}^{bd}D_\nu\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c] + \\
&\quad +2a_1\lambda\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[2\hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\hat{\eta}^{ab}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c + \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}_\nu{}^a\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^b + 2\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}^{ab}\hat{\omega}_{\nu,\alpha}] \\
\delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [\frac{\alpha_2}{\lambda}D_\mu\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{ad}\hat{\eta}^{bd}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c - \frac{\alpha_3}{2\lambda}\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ad}\hat{\eta}^{bd}D_\nu\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c] + \\
&\quad +\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[(\alpha_2 + \frac{\alpha_3}{2}\hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\hat{\eta}^{ab}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c + (2\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_3}{2})\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}_\nu{}^a\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^b + 2\alpha_2\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\hat{\eta}^{ab}\hat{\omega}_{\nu,\alpha}]
\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1, \qquad \alpha_3 = -2\alpha_1$$

To go beyond linear approximation let us collect together self interaction for graviton and its interaction with spin 3:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{\alpha_0}{12\lambda} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a\hat{\omega}_\nu{}^b\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\lambda} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ad}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bd}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c \tag{32}$$

and all corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1\hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}\hat{\omega}_\mu{}^b\hat{\eta}^c - 2\alpha_1\varepsilon^{abc}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{bd}\hat{\eta}^{cd} \\
\delta_1\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1\varepsilon^{cd(a}\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{b)c}\hat{\eta}^d - \alpha_1\varepsilon^{cd(a}\hat{\eta}^{b)c}\hat{\omega}_\mu{}^d
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Now calculating quadratic variations we obtain

$$\delta_1\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1)\alpha_1}{\lambda}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{ac}\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^b\hat{\eta}^c + \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a\hat{\omega}_\nu{}^b\hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{ac}\hat{\eta}^{bc}]$$

so that for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$ all variations cancel. As in the pure gravity case the invariance of the Lagrangian implies that there exist deformations of the curvatures

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\hat{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^a + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\varepsilon^{abc}\hat{\omega}_{[\mu}{}^b\hat{\omega}_{\nu]}{}^c - \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}\hat{\Omega}_{[\mu}{}^{bd}\hat{\Omega}_{\nu]}{}^{cd} \\
\hat{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} + \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}\hat{\Omega}_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}\hat{\omega}_{\nu]}{}^d
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

which transform covariantly

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\hat{\hat{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}[\hat{\hat{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^b\hat{\eta}^c - 2\hat{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{bd}\hat{\eta}^{cd}] \\
\delta\hat{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}[\hat{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{b)c}\hat{\eta}^d - \hat{\eta}^{b)c}\hat{\hat{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^d]
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and such that the equations of motion following from the Lagrangian are equivalent to

$$\hat{\tilde{R}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{a} = 0, \qquad \hat{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = 0 \tag{36}$$

In this, such model can be considered as a Chern-Simons theory with the algebra $SL(3)$ [11].

To simplify comparison with the massive case let us re-express main formulas in terms of the initial variables. The interacting Lagrangian has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \alpha_0 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [\Omega_\mu{}^{ad}\Omega_\nu{}^{bd}h_\alpha{}^c + 2\Omega_\mu{}^{ad}\Phi_\nu{}^{bd}\omega_\alpha{}^c + 2\lambda^2\Phi_\mu{}^{ad}\Phi_\nu{}^{bd}h_\alpha{}^c - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu{}^a\omega_\nu{}^b h_\alpha{}^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{6}h_\mu{}^a h_\nu{}^b h_\alpha{}^c] \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

while corrections to gauge transformations look as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\omega_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}[\omega_\mu{}^b\eta^c + \lambda^2 h_\mu{}^b\xi^c - 2\Omega_\mu{}^{bd}\eta^{cd} - 2\lambda^2\Phi_\mu{}^{bd}\xi^{cd}] \\ \delta_1 h_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}[h_\mu{}^b\eta^c + \omega_\mu{}^b\xi^c - 2\Phi_\mu{}^{bd}\eta^{cd} - 2\Omega_\mu{}^{bd}\xi^{cd}] \\ \delta_1\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}[\Omega_\mu{}^{b)c}\eta^d + \lambda^2\Phi_\mu{}^{b)c}\xi^d - \eta^{b)c}\omega_\mu{}^d - \lambda^2\xi^{b)c}h_\mu{}^d] \\ \delta_1\Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}[\Phi_\mu{}^{b)c}\eta^d + \Omega_\mu{}^{b)c}\xi^d - \eta^{b)c}h_\mu{}^d - \xi^{b)c}\omega_\mu{}^d] \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

At last the deformed curvatures can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= R_{\mu\nu}{}^a + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\varepsilon^{abc}[\omega_{[\mu}{}^b\omega_{\nu]}{}^c + \lambda^2 h_{[\mu}{}^b h_{\nu]}{}^c - 2\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{bd}\Omega_{\nu]}{}^{cd} - 2\lambda^2\Phi_{[\mu}{}^{bd}\Phi_{\nu]}{}^{cd}] \\ \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= T_{\mu\nu}{}^a + \alpha_0\varepsilon^{abc}[\omega_{[\mu}{}^b h_{\nu]}{}^c - 2\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{bd}\Phi_{\nu]}{}^{cd}] \\ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} + \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}[\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}\omega_{\nu]}{}^d + \lambda^2\Phi_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}h_{\nu]}{}^d] \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} + \alpha_0\varepsilon^{cd(a}[\Phi_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}\omega_{\nu]}{}^d + \Omega_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}h_{\nu]}{}^d] \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Thus complete model in terms of initial variables is equivalent to Chern-Simons theory with the algebra $SL(3) \times SL(3)$ [11]. Let us stress here that contrary to higher-dimensional case [27, 28] this model does not contain any higher derivative terms and as a result admits non-singular flat limit $\lambda \to 0$.

1.3 Arbitrary spin-s field

For brevity in this case from the very beginning we will work in terms of separated variable $\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{a_1 \dots a_{s-1}}$ which are completely symmetric and traceless on local indices. Also to simplify formulas we will use compact notations $\Omega_\mu{}^{a_1 \dots a_k} = \Omega_\mu{}^{(k)}$ where index k denotes just the number of local indices and not the indices themselves. The free Lagrangian for massless spin-s field has the form [23]:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{4\lambda}[\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{(s-1)}D_\nu\hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{(s-1)} - (s-1)\lambda \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{a(s-2)}\hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{b(s-2)}] \tag{40}$$

It is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta_0\hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{(s-1)} = D_\mu\hat{\eta}^{(s-1)} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{a(1}\hat{\eta}^{s-2)a} \tag{41}$$

where parameter $\hat{\eta}^{(s-1)}$ is also symmetric and traceless.

Let us consider interaction of this field with gravity. The only cubic vertex possible looks like:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = (-1)^{s+1} a_s \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c \tag{42}$$

while corresponding corrections to gauge transformations can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{(s-1)} &= \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{ab(1} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{s-2)a} \hat{\eta}^b + \alpha_2 \varepsilon^{ab(1} \hat{\eta}^{s-2)a} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^b \\ \delta_1 \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_3 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^{c(s-2)} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Now we have to calculate linear variations. For $\hat{\eta}^a$ transformations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 &= -2a_s \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} D_\mu \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^c + 2a_s \lambda \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^{(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^a \\ \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{(s-1)\alpha_1}{2\lambda} [\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} D_\mu \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^c - \lambda \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\Omega}_{\mu,\nu}{}^{(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\alpha{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^a] \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have to put

$$a_s = \frac{(s-1)\alpha_1}{4\lambda}$$

Similarly, from the variations under the $\hat{\eta}^{(s-1)}$ transformations we get

$$\alpha_2 = (-1)^s \alpha_1, \qquad \alpha_3 = -(s-1)\alpha_1$$

Thus we have achieved gauge invariance in the linear approximation. Combining self interaction for graviton with its interaction with spin s particle we obtain the cubic Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [-\frac{\alpha_0}{3} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a \hat{\omega}_\nu{}^b \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c + (-1)^{s+1} (s-1) \alpha_1 \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^c] \tag{44}$$

and complete set of corrections to gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_0 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^b \hat{\eta}^c - (s-1)\alpha_1 \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\eta}^{c(s-2)} \\ \delta_1 \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{(s-1)} &= \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{ab(1} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{s-2)a} \hat{\eta}^b + (-1)^s \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{ab(1} \hat{\eta}^{s-2)a} \hat{\omega}_\mu{}^b \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Now let us consider quadratic variations. For the $\hat{\eta}^a$ transformations we get

$$\delta_1 \mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}(s-1)\alpha_1(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1)}{2\lambda} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{\Omega}_\mu{}^{a(s-2)} \hat{\Omega}_\nu{}^{b(s-2)} \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^a \hat{\eta}^b$$

so we have to put $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$. But this still leaves us with the $\hat{\eta}^{(s-1)}$ variations of the form

$$\delta_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \sim \hat{\Omega}^{(s-1)} \hat{\Omega}^{(s-1)} \hat{\Omega}^{(s-1)} \hat{\eta}^{(s-1)}$$

that cancel for the $s = 3$ case only so that for any spin $s \geq 4$ to obtain closed consistent theory we have to introduce some other fields and/or interactions. As it has been shown in [11] one of the possible solutions is to introduce all intermediate spins $s = 3, 4, \ldots, (s-1)$ as well as corresponding additional cubic vertices with the result being the Chern-Simons theory with the algebra $SL(s)$.

2 Massive spin-3 field

Self interaction and interacting with gravity for massive spin-3 field has been considered in the recent paper of one of us [29] and in this section we consider gravitational interaction for massive spin 3 one.

2.1 Kinematics of massive spin-3 field

Frame-like gauge invariant formalism for massive spin-3 field in $(A)dS$ space adopted to three dimensions [23] requires four pairs of fields $(\Omega_\mu{}^{ab}, \Phi_\mu{}^{ab})$, $(\Omega_\mu{}^a, f_\mu{}^a)$, (B^a, A_μ) and (π^a, φ). In this, the free Lagrangian has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \ = \ & -\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^{ac}\Omega_\nu{}^{bc} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^a\Omega_\nu{}^b - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu f_\alpha{}^a + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} B^a B^a - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} B_\mu D_\nu A_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\pi^a\pi^a + \pi^\mu D_\mu \varphi + \\ & + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[3m\Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a f_\alpha{}^a + m\Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\Omega_\alpha{}^a - 2\tilde{m}\Omega_{\mu,\nu}A_\alpha + \tilde{m} f_{\mu,\nu}B_\alpha] + M\pi^\mu A_\mu + \\ & + \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [-\frac{M^2}{36}\Phi_\mu{}^{ac}\Phi_\nu{}^{bc} + \frac{M^2}{8} f_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b] + M\tilde{m} e^\mu{}_a f_\mu{}^a \varphi + 3\tilde{m}^2\varphi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

and is invariant under the following set of gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= D_\mu \eta^{ab} + \frac{m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a}\eta^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab}\eta_\mu) - \frac{M^2}{36}\varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a}\xi^{b)c} \\ \delta_0 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= D_\mu \xi^{ab} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a}\eta^{b)c} + \frac{3m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a}\xi^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab}\xi_\mu) \\ \delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \eta^a + 3m\eta_\mu{}^a + \frac{M^2}{4}\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab}\xi^b \\ \delta_0 f_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \xi^a + \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab}\eta^b + m\xi_\mu{}^a + 2\tilde{m} e_\mu{}^a \xi \\ \delta_0 B^a &= -2\tilde{m}\eta^a, \qquad \delta_0 A_\mu = D_\mu \xi + \tilde{m}\xi_\mu \\ \delta_0 \pi^a &= M\tilde{m}\xi^a, \qquad \delta_0 \varphi = -M\xi \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where

$$\tilde{m}^2 = 8m^2 + 4\lambda^2, \qquad M^2 = 18(3m^2 + 2\lambda^2)$$

Recall that in de Sitter space ($\lambda^2 < 0$) there exist two partially massless limits [24, 25, 26, 21]. First one corresponds to $M \to 0$, in this scalar component decouples leaving us with the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \ = \ & -\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^{ac}\Omega_\nu{}^{bc} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^a\Omega_\nu{}^b - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu f_\alpha{}^a + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} B^a B^a - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} B_\mu D_\nu A_\alpha + \\ & + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[3m\Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a f_\alpha{}^a + m\Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a\Omega_\alpha{}^a - 2\tilde{m}\Omega_{\mu,\nu}A_\alpha + \tilde{m} f_{\mu,\nu}B_\alpha] \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which is still invariant under the whole set of gauge transformations

$$\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} = D_\mu \eta^{ab} + \frac{m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a}\eta^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab}\eta_\mu)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= D_\mu \xi^{ab} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \eta^{b)c} + \frac{3m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a} \xi^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \xi_\mu) \\
\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \eta^a + 3m \eta_\mu{}^a \\
\delta_0 f_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \xi^a + \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \eta^b + m \xi_\mu{}^a + 2\tilde{m} e_\mu{}^a \xi \\
\delta_0 B^a &= -2\tilde{m} \eta^a, \qquad \delta_0 A_\mu = D_\mu \xi + \tilde{m} \xi_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{49}
$$

In $d = 3$ dimensions such partially massless particle has one physical degree of freedom only instead of usual two in general massive case.

The other partially massless limit corresponds to $\tilde{m} \to 0$, in this scalar and vector components decouple leaving us with the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 &= -\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^{ac} \Omega_\nu{}^{bc} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^b - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \Omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu f_\alpha{}^a + \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [3m \Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a f_\alpha{}^a + m \Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^a] + \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [-\frac{M^2}{36} \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \Phi_\nu{}^{bc} + \frac{M^2}{8} f_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b]
\end{aligned} \tag{50}
$$

which is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= D_\mu \eta^{ab} + \frac{m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a} \eta^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \eta_\mu) - \frac{M^2}{36} \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \xi^{b)c} \\
\delta_0 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= D_\mu \xi^{ab} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a} \eta^{b)c} + \frac{3m}{2}(e_\mu{}^{(a} \xi^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \xi_\mu) \\
\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \eta^a + 3m \eta_\mu{}^a + \frac{M^2}{4} \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \xi^b \\
\delta_0 f_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \xi^a + \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \eta^b + m \xi_\mu{}^a
\end{aligned} \tag{51}
$$

In such limit we obtain a system that does not have any physical degrees of freedom. At last, recall that in the massless limit in AdS space massive spin 3 field decomposes into massless spin 3 field and massive spin-2 one.

Let us return back to general massive case. Similarly to the massless case for all fields entering the description of massive field one can construct corresponding gauge invariant object (which we will call curvatures though there are two forms as well as one forms among them):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\mu\mu}{}^{ab} &= D_{[\mu} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{ab} + \frac{m}{2}(e_{[\mu}{}^{(a} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{b)} + \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \Omega_{[\mu,\nu]}) - \frac{M^2}{36} \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{b)c} \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= D_{[\mu} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{ab} - \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{c(a} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{b)c} + \frac{3m}{2}(e_{[\mu}{}^{(a} f_{\nu]}{}^{b)} + \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} f_{[\mu,\nu]}) \\
\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= D_{[\mu} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^a - 3m \Omega_{[\mu,\nu]}{}^a - \tilde{m} e_{[\mu}{}^a B_{\nu]} + \frac{M^2}{4} \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} f_{\nu]}{}^b - M\tilde{m} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^a \varphi \\
\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= D_{[\mu} f_{\nu]}{}^a + \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^b - m \Phi_{[\mu,\nu]}{}^a + 2\tilde{m} e_{[\mu}{}^a A_{\nu]} \\
\mathcal{B}_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu B^a + 2\tilde{m} \Omega_\mu{}^a - \frac{M}{2} \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \pi^b - V_\mu{}^a \\
\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} &= D_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^a B^a - \tilde{m} f_{[\mu,\nu]} \\
\Pi_\mu{}^a &= D_\mu \pi^a - M\tilde{m} f_\mu{}^a - 2\tilde{m}^2 e_\mu{}^a \varphi - W_\mu{}^a \\
\Phi_\mu &= D_\mu \varphi - \pi_\mu + M A_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{52}
$$

where zero forms V^{ab} and W^{ab} (that do not enter the free Lagrangian) are symmetric, traceless and have the following transformations:

$$\delta_0 V^{ab} = 6m\tilde{m}\eta^{ab}, \qquad \delta_0 W^{ab} = -Mm\tilde{m}\xi^{ab}$$

Moreover, using these curvatures the free Lagrangian can be rewritten in the form similar to the Chern-Simons one

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[\Omega_\mu{}^{ab}\mathcal{H}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab} + \Phi_\mu{}^{ab}\mathcal{G}_{\nu\alpha}{}^{ab} - \Omega_\mu{}^a\mathcal{T}_{\nu\alpha}{}^a - f_\mu{}^a\mathcal{F}_{\nu\alpha}{}^a - \\ &\qquad -2A_\mu\mathcal{B}_{\nu,\alpha} - B_\mu\mathcal{A}_{\nu\alpha}] + \frac{1}{2}e^\mu{}_a[-\varphi\Pi_\mu{}^a + \pi^a\Phi_\mu] \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

2.2 Non-canonical vertices

In our investigations of gravitational vertices we will call vertex canonical if it corresponds to switching on standard minimal interactions, i.e. to the replacement of background frame $e_\mu{}^a$ by the dynamical one $e_\mu{}^a - h_\mu{}^a$ and the background Lorentz derivative D_μ by fully covariant one $D_\mu - \omega_\mu$. For massive spin-3 case due to the presence of Stueckelberg fields we have two possible non-canonical vertices. First one of the type $2-2-0$ where one of the spin 2 is the graviton, while the other is a Stueckelberg field. Such vertex has been considered in the work of one of us [29] devoted to massive spin-2 where it was shown that such vertex can be completely removed by field redefinitions. The second possibility is the vertex of type $3-2-1$ where spin-2 is again the graviton, while spin 1 is a Stueckelberg field. The most general ansatz for such vertex can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [a_1\Omega_\mu{}^{ac}h_\nu{}^cB^b + a_2\Omega_\mu{}^{ac}h_\nu{}^bB^c + a_3\Phi_\mu{}^{ac}\omega_\nu{}^cB^b + a_4\Phi_\mu{}^{ac}\omega_\nu{}^bB^c] + \\ &\quad +\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}[a_5h_\mu{}^aD_\nu\Phi_\alpha{}^{ab}B^b + a_6D_\mu h_\nu{}^a\Phi_\alpha{}^{ab}B^b] \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Recall that all vertices which have the same or greater number of derivatives as free Lagrangian are always defined up to possible field redefinitions. For the case at hands we have the following possibilities:

$$\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} \Rightarrow \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} + \kappa_1 h_\mu{}^{(a}B^{b)} - Tr, \qquad \omega_\mu{}^a \Rightarrow \omega_\mu{}^a + \kappa_2\Phi_\mu{}^{ab}B^b \tag{55}$$

Let us consider variations under gravitational $\tilde{\xi}^a$ transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [a_1D_\mu\Omega_\nu{}^{ac}B^b\tilde{\xi}^c + a_2D_\mu\Omega_\nu{}^{ac}B^c\tilde{\xi}^b - a_1\Omega_\mu{}^{ac}\tilde{\xi}^cD_\nu B^b - a_2\Omega_\mu{}^{ac}\tilde{\xi}^bD_\nu B^b] - \\ &\quad -a_5\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}D_\mu\Phi_\nu{}^{ab}\tilde{\xi}^aD_\alpha B^b \end{aligned}$$

To compensate these variations we introduce the following corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1\varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a}B^{b)}\tilde{\xi}^c + \alpha_2\varepsilon_\mu{}^{c(a}\tilde{\xi}^{b)}B^c - Tr \\ \delta_1\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_3\tilde{\xi}^{(a}D_\mu B^{b)} - Tr \end{aligned}$$

They produce the following variations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= 2\left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [D_\mu\Omega_\nu{}^{ac}(\alpha_1B^c\tilde{\xi}^b + \alpha_2B^b\tilde{\xi}^c) - \alpha_3\Omega_\mu{}^{ac}(\tilde{\xi}^bD_\nu B^c + \tilde{\xi}^cD_\nu B^b)] + \\ &\quad +2\alpha_3\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}D_\mu\Phi_\nu{}^{ab}\tilde{\xi}^aD_\alpha B^b \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$a_1 = a_2 = -2\alpha_3, \qquad a_5 = 2\alpha_3 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_1 = a_2 = -a_5$$

but such relations on $a_{1,2,5}$ means that these terms can be removed by field redefinition with parameter κ_1. This leaves us with

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [a_3 \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \omega_\nu{}^c B^b + a_4 \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \omega_\nu{}^b B^c] + a_6 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu h_\nu{}^a \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} B^b$$

Now let us consider variations under ξ^{ab} transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 &= \xi^{ac} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [-a_3 D_\mu \omega_\nu{}^c B^b - a_4 D_\mu \omega_\nu{}^b B^c + a_3 \omega_\mu{}^c D_\nu B^b + a_4 \omega_\mu{}^b D_\nu B^c] - \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} D_\mu h_\nu{}^a \xi^{ab} D_\alpha B^b \end{aligned}$$

Then we introduce corresponding corrections to gauge transformations

$$\delta \omega_\mu{}^a = \beta_1 \xi^{ab} \partial_\mu B^b, \qquad \delta h_\mu{}^a = \beta_2 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \xi^{bc} B^c$$

which produce

$$\delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = \beta_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \omega_\mu{}^a \xi^{bc} \partial_\nu B^c - \beta_1 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu h_\nu{}^a \xi^{ab} \partial_\alpha B^b - \beta_2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} D_\mu \omega_\nu{}^a \varepsilon_\alpha{}^{ab} \xi^{bc} B^c$$

Thus we obtain

$$a_3 = 0, \qquad a_4 = \beta_1 = -a_6$$

and it means that all the remaining terms can be removed by field redefinition with the parameter κ_2.

2.3 Canonical vertices

In this subsection we consider canonical vertices, i.e. those that correspond to standard minimal interaction with the replacement of background frame $e_\mu{}^a$ by dynamical one $e_\mu{}^a - h_\mu{}^a$ and AdS covariant derivatives D_μ by total Lorentz covariant one $D_\mu - \omega_\mu$. To be sure that the results obtained are the most general ones initially we take all such terms with arbitrary coefficients. Thus the ansatz for cubic Lagrangian will look as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [c_1 h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{bd} \Omega_\alpha{}^{cd} + c_2 \omega_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{bd} \Phi_\alpha{}^{cd} + c_3 h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^b \Omega_\alpha{}^c + c_4 f_\mu{}^a \omega_\nu{}^b \Omega_\alpha{}^c] + \\ &\quad + c_5 h B^a B^a + c_6 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} h_\mu{}^a B^a D_\nu A_\alpha + c_7 h \pi^a \pi^a + c_8 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a \pi^b D_\nu \varphi + \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [d_1 h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{ab} f_\alpha{}^b + d_2 h_\mu{}^a \Phi_\nu{}^{ab} \Omega_\alpha{}^b + d_3 h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^a A_\alpha + \\ &\qquad + d_4 h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^a B_\alpha + d_5 h_\mu{}^a f_{\nu,\alpha} B^a] + d_6 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a \pi^b A_\nu + \\ &\quad + \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [e_1 h_\mu{}^a \Phi_\nu{}^{bd} \Phi_\alpha{}^{cd} + e_2 h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b f_\alpha{}^c] + e_3 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b \varphi + e_4 h \varphi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Let us begin with gravitational Lorentz transformations. Calculating variations under the $\tilde{\eta}^a$ transformations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [-c_2 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^{ad} \Phi_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\eta}^c - c_2 D_\mu \Phi_\nu{}^{ad} \Omega_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\eta}^c - c_4 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^a f_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c - c_4 D_\mu f_\nu{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c] + \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [2c_1 \Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^{ab} \tilde{\eta}^b + 2c_3 \Omega_{\mu,\nu} \Omega_\alpha{}^a \tilde{\eta}^a + c_8 \pi_\mu \tilde{\eta}_\nu D_\alpha \varphi] + c_6 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b D_\mu A_\nu + \\ &\quad + \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [d_1 \Omega_\mu{}^{ac} f_\nu{}^c \tilde{\eta}^b + d_2 \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \Omega_\nu{}^c \tilde{\eta}^b + d_3 \Omega_\mu{}^a A_\nu \tilde{\eta}^b + d_4 f_\mu{}^a B_\nu \tilde{\eta}^b + d_5 f_{\mu,\nu} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b] + \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [d_6 \pi_\mu \tilde{\eta}_\nu A_\alpha + 2e_1 \Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} \tilde{\eta}^b + 2e_2 f_{\mu,\nu} f_\alpha{}^a \tilde{\eta}^a + e_3 f_{\mu,\nu} \tilde{\eta}_\alpha \varphi] \end{aligned}$$

By analyzing the terms with explicit derivatives it is not hard to determine the corresponding corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \frac{c_2}{2} \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Omega_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\eta}^d, \qquad \delta_1 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} = \frac{c_2}{2} \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Phi_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\eta}^d \\
\delta_1 \Omega_\mu{}^a &= -c_4 \varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_\mu{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c, \qquad \delta_1 f_\mu{}^a = -c_4 \varepsilon^{abc} f_\mu{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c \\
\delta_1 B^a &= c_6 \varepsilon^{abc} B^b \tilde{\eta}^c, \qquad \delta_1 \pi^a = -c_8 \varepsilon^{abc} \pi^b \tilde{\eta}^c
\end{aligned}$$

They produce

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [c_2 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^{ad} \Phi_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\eta}^c + c_2 D_\mu \Phi_\nu{}^{ad} \Omega_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\eta}^c + c_4 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^a f_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c + c_4 D_\mu f_\nu{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c] + \\
&+ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [-c_2 \Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^{ab} \tilde{\eta}^b - c_4 \Omega_{\mu,\nu} \Omega_\alpha{}^a \tilde{\eta}^a - c_8 \pi_\mu \tilde{\eta}_\nu D_\alpha \varphi] - c_6 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b D_\mu A_\nu \\
&- \frac{3mc_2}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^{ac} \tilde{\eta}^b f_\nu{}^c - 3m(\frac{c_2}{2} + c_4) \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a f_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c - \\
&- \frac{mc_2}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Phi_\mu{}^{ac} \tilde{\eta}^b \Omega_\nu{}^c - m(\frac{c_2}{2} + c_4) \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} \Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c - \\
&- 2\tilde{m} c_4 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \Omega_\mu{}^a \tilde{\eta}^b A_\nu + \tilde{m} c_4 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} f_\mu{}^a \tilde{\eta}^b B_\nu + \tilde{m} c_6 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} f_{\mu,\nu} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b - M c_8 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \pi_\mu \tilde{\eta}_\nu A_\alpha - \\
&- \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [\frac{M^2 c_2}{36} \Phi_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} \tilde{\eta}^b + \frac{M^2 c_4}{4} f_{\mu,\nu} f_\alpha{}^a \tilde{\eta}^a + M \tilde{m} c_4 f_{\mu,\nu} \tilde{\eta}_\alpha \varphi]
\end{aligned}$$

Then requiring that $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = 0$ we obtain

$$c_2 = 2c_1, \qquad 2c_3 = c_4 = -c_1$$

$$d_1 = 3mc_1, \quad d_2 = mc_1, \quad d_3 = -2\tilde{m}c_1, \quad d_4 = \tilde{m}c_1, \quad d_5 = -\tilde{m}c_6, \quad d_6 = Mc_8$$

$$e_1 = \frac{M^2 c_1}{36}, \qquad e_2 = -\frac{M^2 c_1}{8}, \qquad e_3 = -M\tilde{m}c_1$$

Now let us consider variations under the $\tilde{\xi}^a$ transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 &= \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [-2c_1 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^{ad} \Omega_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\xi}^c - 2c_3 D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^a \Omega_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c] - \\
&- 2c_5 \tilde{\xi}^\mu B^a D_\mu B^a - c_6 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu B^a D_\nu A_\alpha - 2c_7 \tilde{\xi}^\mu \pi^a D_\mu \pi^a - c_8 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \pi^b D_\nu \varphi + \\
&+ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [-d_1 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^{ab} f_\alpha{}^b + d_1 \tilde{\xi}^a \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu f_\alpha{}^b - d_2 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \Phi_\nu{}^{ab} \Omega_\alpha{}^b + d_2 \tilde{\xi}^a \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} D_\nu \Omega_\alpha{}^b - \\
&- d_3 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \Omega_\nu{}^a A_\alpha + d_3 \tilde{\xi}^a \Omega_\mu{}^a D_\nu A_\alpha - d_4 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu f_\nu{}^a B_\alpha + d_4 \tilde{\xi}^a f_\mu{}^a D_\nu B_\alpha - \\
&- d_5 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu f_{\nu,\alpha} B^a - d_5 \tilde{\xi}^a f_{\mu,\nu} D_\alpha B^a] - d_6 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [\tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \pi^b A_\nu + \tilde{\xi}^a \pi^b D_\mu A_\nu] - \\
&- \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [2e_1 D_\mu \Phi_\nu{}^{ad} \Phi_\alpha{}^{bd} \tilde{\xi}^c + 2e_2 D_\mu f_\nu{}^a f_\alpha{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c] + e_3 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} [-\tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu f_\nu{}^a \varphi + \tilde{\xi}^a f_\mu{}^b D_\nu \varphi] - \\
&- 2e_4 \tilde{\xi}^\mu \varphi D_\mu \varphi + \lambda^2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [c_2 (\Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^a \Phi_\alpha{}^{ab} + \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} \Phi_{\nu,\alpha}{}^a) \tilde{\xi}^b + c_4 (\Omega_{\mu,\nu} f_\alpha{}^a + \Omega_\mu{}^a f_{\nu,\alpha}) \tilde{\xi}^a]
\end{aligned}$$

In this case we have a lot of terms with explicit derivatives and the corresponding corrections to gauge transformations look as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \frac{d_2}{2} (\Omega_\mu{}^{(a} \tilde{\xi}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \Omega_\mu{}^c \tilde{\xi}^c) + e_1 \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Phi_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\xi}^d \\
\delta \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= c_1 \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Omega_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\xi}^d + \frac{d_1}{2} (f_\mu{}^{(a} \tilde{\xi}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} f_\mu{}^c \tilde{\xi}^c) \\
\delta \Omega_\mu{}^a &= d_1 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b - d_4 B_\mu \tilde{\xi}^a - d_5 e_\mu{}^a B^b \tilde{\xi}^b - 2e_2 \varepsilon^{abc} f_\mu{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta f_\mu{}^a &= c_1 \varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_\mu{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c + d_2 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b - d_3 A_\mu \tilde{\xi}^a \\
\delta B_\mu &= -c_6 \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu B^a + d_3 \Omega_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^a + d_6 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \pi^a \tilde{\xi}^b \\
\delta A_\mu &= -c_6 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} B^a \tilde{\xi}^b + d_4 f_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^a \\
\delta \pi^a &= c_8 (\tilde{\xi}^\mu D_\mu \pi^a - \tilde{\xi}^a (D\pi)) + e_3 (f \tilde{\xi}^a - f_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^\mu) + 2 e_4 \varphi \tilde{\xi}^a \\
\delta \varphi &= c_8 (\pi \tilde{\xi})
\end{aligned}
$$

By straightforward but rather long calculations we can see that all variations can be canceled provided the following relations hold:

$$
-2c_5 = c_6 = 2c_7 = -c_8 = c_1, \qquad e_4 = -3\tilde{m}^2 c_1
$$

Thus the invariance under the gravitational gauge transformations already completely fixes all the coefficients in the Lagrangian and in the corresponding corrections to gauge transformations up to one arbitrary coupling constant. Choosing the same coupling constant α_1 as in the massless spin 3 case we obtain the following final form of the cubic vertex (compare with the free Lagrangian (46)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 &= \alpha_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{bd} \Omega_\alpha{}^{cd} + 2\omega_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{bd} \Phi_\alpha{}^{cd} - \frac{1}{2} h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^b \Omega_\alpha{}^c - f_\mu{}^a \omega_\nu{}^b \Omega_\alpha{}^c] + \\
&\quad + \alpha_1 [-\frac{1}{2} h B^a B^a + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} h_\mu{}^a B^a D_\nu A_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} h \pi^a \pi^a - \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a \pi^b D_\nu \varphi] + \\
&\quad + \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [3m h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^{ab} f_\alpha{}^b + m h_\mu{}^a \Phi_\nu{}^{ab} \Omega_\alpha{}^b + 2\tilde{m} h_\mu{}^a \Omega_\nu{}^a A_\alpha - \\
&\qquad\quad - \tilde{m} h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^a B_\alpha - \tilde{m} h_\mu{}^a f_{\nu,\alpha} B^a] - M \alpha_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a \pi^b A_\nu + \\
&\quad + \alpha_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{abc} \right\} [\frac{M^2}{36} h_\mu{}^a \Phi_\nu{}^{bd} \Phi_\alpha{}^{cd} - \frac{M^2}{8} h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b f_\alpha{}^c] - \\
&\quad - M \tilde{m} \alpha_1 \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} h_\mu{}^a f_\nu{}^b \varphi - 3\tilde{m}^2 \alpha_1 h \varphi^2
\end{aligned} \tag{57}
$$

and corrections to the gravitational gauge transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{cd(a} \Omega_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\eta}^d + \frac{m}{2} (\Omega_\mu{}^{(a} \tilde{\xi}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} \Omega_\mu{}^c \tilde{\xi}^c) + \frac{M^2}{36} \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Phi_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\xi}^d] \\
\delta_1 \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{cd(a} \Phi_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\eta}^d + \varepsilon^{cd(a} \Omega_\mu{}^{b)c} \tilde{\xi}^d + \frac{3m}{2} (f_\mu{}^{(a} \tilde{\xi}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} f_\mu{}^c \tilde{\xi}^c)] \\
\delta_1 \Omega_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_\mu{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c + 3m \Omega_\mu{}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b - \tilde{m} B_\mu \tilde{\xi}^a + \tilde{m} e_\mu{}^a B^b \tilde{\xi}^b + \frac{M^2}{4} \varepsilon^{abc} f_\mu{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c - M \tilde{m} \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b \varphi] \\
\delta_1 f_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} f_\mu{}^b \tilde{\eta}^c + \varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_\mu{}^b \tilde{\xi}^c + m \Phi_\mu{}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b + 2\tilde{m} A_\mu \tilde{\xi}^a] \\
\delta_1 B_\mu &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b - \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu B^a - 2\tilde{m} \Omega_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^a - M \varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} \pi^a \tilde{\xi}^b] \\
\delta_1 A_\mu &= \alpha_1 [-\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab} B^a \tilde{\xi}^b + \tilde{m} f_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^a] \\
\delta_1 \pi^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} \pi^b \tilde{\eta}^c - (\tilde{\xi}^\mu D_\mu \pi^a - \tilde{\xi}^a (D\pi)) - M \tilde{m} (f \tilde{\xi}^a - f_\mu{}^a \tilde{\xi}^\mu) - 6\tilde{m}^2 \varphi \tilde{\xi}^a] \\
\delta_1 \varphi &= -\alpha_1 (\pi \tilde{\xi})
\end{aligned} \tag{58}
$$

But we still have to take care on the whole set of massive spin 3 gauge transformations η^{ab}, ξ^{ab}, η^a, ξ^a, ξ. Note that there is a striking difference between $d = 3$ and $d \geq 4$ cases here. In $d \geq 4$ dimensions variations of the Lagrangian under the higher spin gauge transformations produce terms proportional to gravitational curvature and to compensate for these terms one has to introduce a lot of higher derivative corrections to the Lagrangian and gauge transformations [27, 28]. Moreover, the higher the spin one will try to consider the more derivatives one will have to introduce. In this, the most important terms are the ones containing Weyl tensor because all the terms containing Ricci tensor can be compensated by corresponding corrections to graviton transformations and/or removed by field redefinitions. But in three dimensions Weyl tensor is identically zero and as a result, as we will show on the example of massive spin 3 field now, it is possible to achieve gauge invariance in the linear approximation without introduction of any higher derivative corrections. Thus after switching on gravitational interactions we still can consider any massless, partially massless or flat limits. Moreover, as it will be seen from the next section, this holds true for the arbitrary spins as well.

So our cubic vertex is completely fixed and now we have to find corrections to massive spin-3 gauge transformations to achieve invariance in the linear approximation. The procedure goes exactly in the same way as it was shown above: we calculate variations of cubic vertex $\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1$, analyze the terms containing explicit derivatives, find the form of the necessary corrections to gauge transformations and adjust coefficients to achieve $\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 = 0$. All the calculations are straightforward but rather long so we will not give the details of them here and simply give the final results. For massive spin 3 fields the corrections to gauge transformations appear to be:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1\Omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1[-\varepsilon^{cd(a}\eta^{b)c}\omega_\mu{}^d - \frac{m}{2}(h_\mu{}^{(a}\eta^{b)} - \frac{2}{3}g^{ab}h_\mu{}^c\eta^c) - \frac{M^2}{36}\varepsilon^{cd(a}\xi^{b)c}h_\mu{}^d] \\
\delta_1\Phi_\mu{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1[-\varepsilon^{cd(a}\eta^{b)c}h_\mu{}^d - \varepsilon^{cd(a}\xi^{b)c}\omega_\mu{}^d - \frac{3m}{2}(h_\mu{}^{(a}\xi^{b)} - \frac{2}{3}g^{ab}h_\mu{}^c\xi^c)] \\
\delta_1\Omega_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1[\varepsilon^{abc}\omega_\mu{}^b\eta^c - 3m\eta^{ab}h_\mu{}^b + \frac{M^2}{4}\varepsilon^{abc}h_\mu{}^b\xi^c] \\
\delta_1 f_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1[\varepsilon^{abc}h_\mu{}^b\eta^c + \varepsilon^{abc}\omega_\mu{}^b\xi^c - m\xi^{ab}h_\mu{}^b - 2\tilde{m}h_\mu{}^a\xi] \\
\delta_1 A_\mu &= -\alpha_1\tilde{m}h_\mu{}^a\xi^a
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Comparing these expressions with the initial gauge transformations (47), one can see that they indeed correspond to standard substitution rules. At the same time the gravitational fields also have non-trivial transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1\omega_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1[-2\varepsilon^{abc}\Omega_\mu{}^{bd}\eta^{cd} + \varepsilon^{abc}\Omega_\mu{}^b\eta^c + 3m\eta^{ab}f_\mu{}^b + m\xi^{ab}\Omega_\mu{}^b - m\Phi_\mu{}^{ab}\eta^b - 2\tilde{m}A_\mu\eta^a - \\
&\quad -3m\Omega_\mu{}^{ab}\xi^b + \tilde{m}B_\mu\xi^a + 2\tilde{m}\Omega_\mu{}^a\xi - \frac{M^2}{18}\varepsilon^{abc}\Phi_\mu{}^{bd}\xi^{cd} + \frac{M^2}{4}\varepsilon^{abc}f_\mu{}^b\xi^c - M\tilde{m}\varepsilon_\mu{}^{ab}\xi^b\varphi] \\
\delta_1 h_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1\varepsilon^{abc}[-2\Phi_\mu{}^{bd}\eta^{cd} - 2\Omega_\mu{}^{bd}\xi^{cd} + f_\mu{}^b\eta^c + \Omega_\mu{}^b\xi^c]
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

As in the massless case the invariance of the Lagrangian implies that there exist deformations for all gauge invariant curvatures such that under corrected gauge transformations they transform covariantly and this provides highly non-trivial check for all our calculations. All additional terms for the massive spin-3 curvatures contain one forms $\omega_\mu{}^a$ or $h_\mu{}^a$ and completely determined by the structure of gauge transformations. The results

$$\Delta\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = \alpha_1[\varepsilon^{cd(a}\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}\omega_{\nu]}{}^d + \frac{m}{2}(\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{(a}h_{\nu]}{}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3}g^{ab}\Omega_{[\mu}{}^c h_{\nu]}{}^c) + \frac{M^2}{36}\varepsilon^{cd(a}\Phi_{[\mu}{}^{b)c}h_{\nu]}{}^d]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{cd(a} (\Phi_{[\mu}{}^{b)c} \omega_{\nu]}{}^d + \Omega_{[\mu}{}^{b)c} h_{\nu]}{}^d) + \frac{3m}{2} (f_{[\mu}{}^{(a} h_{\nu]}{}^{b)} - \frac{2}{3} g^{ab} f_{[\mu}{}^c h_{\nu]}{}^c)] \\
\Delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_{[\mu}{}^b \omega_{\nu]}{}^c + 3m \Omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab} h_{\nu]}{}^b - \tilde{m} B_{[\mu} h_{\nu]}{}^a + \tilde{m} e_{[\mu}{}^a h_{\nu]}{}^b B^b + \\
&\quad + \frac{M^2}{4} \varepsilon^{abc} f_{[\mu}{}^b h_{\nu]}{}^c - M \tilde{m} \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} h_{\nu]}{}^b \varphi] \qquad (61) \\
\Delta \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} (f_{[\mu}{}^b \omega_{\nu]}{}^c + \Omega_{[\mu}{}^b h_{\nu]}{}^c) + m \Phi_{[\mu}{}^{ab} h_{\nu]}{}^b + 2\tilde{m} A_{[\mu} h_{\nu]}{}^a] \\
\Delta \mathcal{B}_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} \omega_\mu{}^b B^c + \frac{M}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} \pi^b h_\mu{}^c + V^{ab} h_\mu{}^b] \\
\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} &= \alpha_1 [-\varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} B^a h_{\nu]}{}^b + \tilde{m} f_{[\mu}{}^a h_{\nu]}{}^a] \\
\Delta \Pi_\mu{}^a &= \alpha_1 [\varepsilon^{abc} \omega_\mu{}^b \pi^c + 2\tilde{m}^2 \varphi h_\mu{}^a + W^{ab} h_\mu{}^b], \qquad \Delta \Phi_\mu = \alpha_1 h_\mu{}^a \pi^a
\end{aligned}$$

clearly show that these deformations (as well as corrections to gauge transformations) exactly correspond to standard substitution rules. As for the deformations for gravitational curvature and torsion, all terms containing one forms are also determined by the very structure of corrections to gauge transformations, while the terms quadratic in zero forms are determined by the requirement that deformed curvatures transform covariantly. By straightforward but rather lengthy calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \alpha_1 [-\varepsilon^{abc} \Omega_{[\mu}{}^{bd} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{[\mu}{}^b \Omega_{\nu]}{}^c - 3m \Omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab} f_{\nu]}{}^b - m \Phi_{[\mu}{}^{ab} \Omega_{\nu]}{}^b + 2\tilde{m} \Omega_{[\mu}{}^a A_{\nu]} - \\
&\quad - \tilde{m} f_{[\mu}{}^a B_{\nu]} - \frac{M^2}{36} \varepsilon^{abc} \Phi_{[\mu}{}^{bd} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{cd} + \frac{M^2}{8} \varepsilon^{abc} f_{[\mu}{}^b f_{\nu]}{}^c - M \tilde{m} \varepsilon_{[\mu}{}^{ab} f_{\nu]}{}^b \varphi - \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^b B^a B^b + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^a B^2 - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^b \pi^a \pi^b + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^a \pi^2 + 3\tilde{m}^2 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^a \varphi^2] \qquad (62) \\
\Delta T_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= \alpha_1 \varepsilon^{abc} [-2\Omega_{[\mu}{}^{bd} \Phi_{\nu]}{}^{cd} + \Omega_{[\mu}{}^b f_{\nu]}{}^c]
\end{aligned}$$

We have already mentioned that the system gravity plus massless spin 3 provides closed consistent theory without any needs to introduce some other fields. But if we consider quadratic variations to check if $\delta_1 \mathcal{L}_1 = 0$ we immediately recover that contrary to the massless case the system gravity plus massive spin 3 is not closed. The crucial point is the variations under the main spin 3 transformations η^{ab} and ξ^{ab} that contain one forms $\Omega_\mu{}^{ab}$, $\Phi_\mu{}^{ab}$, $\Omega_\mu{}^a$ and $f_\mu{}^a$. In three dimensions there are no any quartic vertices constructed out of one forms, while zero forms B^a, π^a and φ do not have non-trivial transformations and can not be of any help. Thus to construct consistent theory (if it exists at all) we must introduce other fields into the system. Let us stress however that the existence and the very structure of cubic vertex do not depend on the presence or absence of any other fields so that the results obtained here are universal and model independent.

3 Massive arbitrary spin-s field

In this section we consider cubic gravitational vertex for massive field with arbitrary integer spin s. We already know that the system gravity plus massless spin s is not closed so that there is no chance that it can be the case for massive field. But as we have already mentioned the existence and structure of cubic vertex do not depend on the presence of any other fields. Moreover the mere existence of such cubic vertex is crucial for any further investigations.

3.1 Kinematics

We will use frame-like gauge invariant description for massive spin s field elaborated in our previous work [23]. Such description uses a collection of one forms $\Omega_\mu{}^{(k)}$, $\Phi_\mu{}^{(k)}$, $1 \le k \le s-1$ (in the same compact notations) and a pair of zero forms B^a, π^a. The free Lagrangian has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} [\frac{k}{2} \left\{ {}^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \right\} (\Omega_\mu{}^{a(k-1)} \Omega_\nu{}^{b(k-1)} + \beta_k{}^2 \Phi_\mu{}^{a(k-1)} \Phi_\nu{}^{b(k-1)}) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \Omega_\mu{}^{(k)} D_\nu \Phi_\alpha{}^{(k)}] - \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} k \alpha_k \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [\frac{k+1}{k-1} \Omega_{\mu,\nu}{}^{(k-1)} \Phi_\alpha{}^{(k-1)} + \Omega_\mu{}^{(k-1)} \Phi_{\nu,\alpha}{}^{(k-1)}] + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} B^a B^a - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [B_\mu D_\nu \pi_\alpha + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} B_\mu \Phi_{\nu,\alpha} - \gamma_0 \Omega_{\mu,\nu} \pi_\alpha] + 2\beta_1{}^2 \pi^a \pi^a
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 \Omega_\mu{}^{(k)} &= D_\mu \eta^{(k)} + \alpha_k [e_\mu{}^{(1} \eta^{k-1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} \eta_\mu{}^{k-2)}] - \beta_k{}^2 \varepsilon_\mu{}^{b(1} \xi^{k-1)b} + \gamma_k \eta_\mu{}^{(k)} \\
\delta_0 \Phi_\mu{}^{(k)} &= D_\mu \xi^{(k)} - \varepsilon_\mu{}^{b(1} \eta^{k-1)b} + \alpha_k \frac{k+1}{k-1} [e_\mu{}^{(1} \xi^{k-1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} \xi_\mu{}^{k-2)}] + \frac{k\gamma_k}{k+2} \xi_\mu{}^{(k)} \\
\delta_0 B^a &= \gamma_0 \eta^a, \qquad \delta_0 \pi^a = -\frac{\gamma_0}{2} \xi^a
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_k{}^2 &= \frac{(k-1)(s-k)(s+k)}{k^3(k+1)(2k+1)} \left[\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}{}^2 + (s-k-1)(s+k-1)\lambda^2 \right], \quad k = 2, 3 ... (s-1) \\
\beta_k &= \frac{s(s-1)}{k(k+1)} \beta_{s-1}, \quad k = 1, 2 ... (s-1), \qquad \beta_{s-1}{}^2 = \frac{s(s-1)}{s-2} \alpha_{s-1}{}^2 + \lambda^2 \\
\gamma_k &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{k} \alpha_{k+1} \quad k = 1, 2 ... (s-2) \\
\gamma_0{}^2 &= \frac{2(s-1)(s+1)}{3} [\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}{}^2 + s(s-2)\lambda^2] \\
\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}{}^2 &= \frac{s(s-1)^3}{s-2} \alpha_{s-1}{}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that in a de Sitter space ($\Lambda = -\lambda^2 > 0$) there exists a number of so called partially massless limits [24, 25, 26, 21]. It happens each time when one of the parameters α_k goes to zero. In this, the whole system decomposes into two independent subsystems, one of them describing partially massless spin s particle, while the other subsystem describes massive spin k one.

3.2 Cubic vertex

Let us turn to the gravitational interactions for such massive spin s field. As we have already mentioned, in three dimensions even for arbitrary spin it is possible to achieve gauge invariance in the linear approximation without introduction of any higher derivatives corrections to the Lagrangian and/or gauge transformations. This time from the very beginning we take cubic vertex corresponding to standard substitution rules, i.e. the replacement of AdS derivative D_μ by totally covariant one $D_\mu - \omega_\mu$ and the background frame ${e_\mu}^a$ by dynamical one ${e_\mu}^a - {h_\mu}^a$, though we have explicitly checked that it is indeed the most general solution. Such vertex is determined up to one arbitrary coupling constant, that for simplicity we set to be 1, and looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-1}(-1)^k k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu\alpha \\ abc \end{smallmatrix} \right\} [\frac{1}{2}{h_\mu}^a {\Omega_\nu}^{b(k-1)} {\Omega_\alpha}^{c(k-1)} + {\omega_\mu}^a {\Omega_\nu}^{b(k-1)} {\Phi_\alpha}^{c(k-1)}] - \\
&- \frac{1}{2} h B^a B^a + \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \right\} {\omega_\mu}^a B_\nu \pi^b + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} {h_\mu}^a [B^a D_\nu \pi_\alpha + B_\nu D_\alpha \pi^a] + \\
&+ \sum_{k=2}^{s-1}(-1)^k k \alpha_k \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} [\frac{k+1}{k-1} {h_\mu}^a {\Omega_\nu}^{a(k-1)} {\Phi_\alpha}^{(k-1)} - {h_\mu}^a {\Omega_\nu}^{(k-1)} {\Phi_\alpha}^{a(k-1)}] + \\
&+ \gamma_0 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} {h_\mu}^a [{\Omega_\nu}^a \pi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} B_\nu {\Phi_\alpha}^a - \Omega_{\nu,\alpha} \pi^a + \frac{1}{2} B^a \Phi_{\nu,\alpha}] + \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{s-1}(-1)^k \frac{k{\beta_k}^2}{2} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu\alpha \\ abc \end{smallmatrix} \right\} {h_\mu}^a {\Phi_\nu}^{b(k-1)} {\Phi_\alpha}^{c(k-1)} - 2{\beta_1}^2 h \pi^a \pi^a
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Note that as in the spin-3 case the structure of the vertex is completely determined (up to possible field redefinitions) by the invariance under the gravitational $\tilde{\eta}^a$ and $\tilde{\xi}^a$ transformations. In this, appropriate corrections to gauge transformations is found to be:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 {\Omega_\mu}^{(k)} &= \varepsilon^{ab(1} [{\Omega_\mu}^{k-1)a} \tilde{\eta}^b + {\beta_k}^2 {\Phi_\mu}^{k-1)a} \tilde{\xi}^b] + \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{k} \alpha_{k+1} {\Omega_\mu}^{a(k)} \tilde{\xi}^a + \\
&+ \alpha_k [{\Omega_\mu}^{(k-1} \tilde{\xi}^{1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} {\Omega_\mu}^{k-2)a} \tilde{\xi}^a] + \\
\delta_1 {\Phi_\mu}^{(k)} &= \varepsilon^{ab(1} [{\Phi_\mu}^{k-1)a} \tilde{\eta}^b + {\Omega_\mu}^{k-1)a} \tilde{\xi}^b] + (k+1) \alpha_{k+1} {\Phi_\mu}^{a(k)} \tilde{\xi}^a + \\
&+ \frac{k+1}{k-1} \alpha_k [{\Phi_\mu}^{(k-1} \tilde{\xi}^{1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} {\Phi_\mu}^{k-2)a} \tilde{\xi}^a] \\
\delta_1 {\Omega_\mu}^a &= \varepsilon^{abc} {\Omega_\mu}^b \tilde{\eta}^c + 6\alpha_2 {\Omega_\mu}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b - \frac{\gamma_0}{2} {e_\mu}^a B^b \tilde{\xi}^b \\
\delta {\Phi_\mu}^a &= \varepsilon^{abc} {\Phi_\mu}^b \tilde{\eta}^c + 2\alpha_2 {\Phi_\mu}^{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b + \gamma_0 {e_\mu}^a \pi^b \tilde{\xi}^b \\
\delta_1 B_\mu &= {\varepsilon_\mu}^{ab} B^a \tilde{\eta}^b - \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu B^a + \gamma_0 {\Omega_\mu}^a \tilde{\xi}^a - 4{\beta_1}^2 {\varepsilon_\mu}^{ab} \pi^a \tilde{\xi}^b \\
\delta_1 \pi_\mu &= {\varepsilon_\mu}^{ab} \pi^a \tilde{\eta}^b - \tilde{\xi}^a D_\mu \pi^a - {\varepsilon_\mu}^{ab} B^a \tilde{\xi}^b - \frac{\gamma_0}{2} {\Phi_\mu}^a \tilde{\xi}^a
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Now we have to take care on all massive spin gauge transformations and check if we indeed have invariance in the linear approximation. As for the higher spin fields, their corrections to gauge transformations again exactly correspond to standard substitution rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 {\Omega_\mu}^{(k)} &= -\varepsilon^{ab(1} [\eta^{k-1)a} {\omega_\mu}^b + {\beta_k}^2 \xi^{k-1)a} {h_\mu}^b] - \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{k} \alpha_{k+1} {h_\mu}^a \eta^{a(k)} - \\
&- k\alpha_k [{h_\mu}^{(1} \eta^{k-1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} \eta^{k-2)a} {h_\mu}^a] \\
\delta_1 {\Phi_\mu}^{(k)} &= -\varepsilon^{ab(1} [\eta^{k-1)a} {h_\mu}^b + \xi^{k-1)a} {\omega_\mu}^b] - (k+1) \alpha_{k+1} {h_\mu}^a \xi^{a(k)} - \\
&- \frac{k+1}{k-1} \alpha_k [{h_\mu}^{(1} \xi^{k-1)} - \frac{2}{2k-1} g^{(2} \xi^{k-2)a} {h_\mu}^a]
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

as can be easily seen comparing these expressions with the free ones (64). But to find higher spin transformations for graviton requires more work. By straightforward but lengthy calculations we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 \omega_\mu{}^a &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} k \varepsilon^{abc} [\Omega_\mu{}^{b(k-1)} \eta^{c(k-1)} + \beta_k{}^2 \Phi_\mu{}^{b(k-1)} \xi^{c(k-1)}] + \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^{s-1} (-1)^k k \alpha_k [\frac{k+1}{k-1} \Phi_\mu{}^{(k-1)} \eta^{a(k-1)} - \Phi_\mu{}^{a(k-1)} \eta^{(k-1)} + \\
& \qquad + \Omega_\mu{}^{(k-1)} \xi^{a(k-1)} - \frac{k+1}{k-1} \Omega_\mu{}^{a(k-1)} \xi^{(k-1)}] - \\
& - \gamma_0 (\eta_\mu \pi^a - \pi_\mu \eta^a) \\
\delta_1 h_\mu{}^a &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} k \varepsilon^{abc} [\Phi_\mu{}^{b(k-1)} \eta^{c(k-1)} + \Omega_\mu{}^{b(k-1)} \xi^{c(k-1)}]
\end{aligned}
\tag{68}
$$

Thus we have constructed the cubic vertex and all corresponding corrections to gauge transformations such that the resulting theory is invariant in the linear approximation. Unlike the higher dimensional case [27], [28], the cubic vertex under consideration does not require any higher derivative terms. Note also that, as well as in the spin-3 case, for arbitrary s-case after switching on gravitational interaction we still have the possibility to take any of the massless, partially massless or flat limits. In-particular, this allows one to consider interactions for such partially massless fields that can possesses the specific properties and have simpler structure in comparison with generic massive fields. As we have already mentioned to go beyond linear approximation one has to introduce other fields into the system and till now what spectrum of massive fields one has to consider to obtain consistent theory is an open question that certainly deserves further study.

Conclusion

In this paper we have studied gravitational interactions for massive higher spin fields in three dimensions using frame-like gauge invariant description. At first, after providing a couple of simplest interacting massless models illustrating our general technics, we constructed gravitational interactions for massive spin-3 field in linear approximation, e.g. found the cubic vertex linear in gravitational field and quadratic in spin-3 field. Recall that the linear approximation for any field do not depend on the presence of any other fields in the system so that the very existence of such cubic vertex is crucial for any further investigations. Contrary to the massless case, and this may be the main lesson from our work, we argue that the system gravity plus massive spin-3 field is not closed. This simplest example shows that the structure, spectrum of fields and gauge algebra for massive and massless theories may be drastically different. Then we managed to generalize these results to the case of massive field with arbitrary integer spin. It is important that it turns out to be possible without introduction of any higher derivative corrections to the Lagrangian and/or gauge transformations and this is one of the reason why three dimensional theories appear to be much simpler that higher dimensional ones. Note also that even after switching on gravitational interaction (at least in the linear approximation) we still have the possibility to take any massless, partially massless or flat limits.

Acknowledgments

I.L.B and T.V.S are grateful to the grant for LRSS, project No 224.2012.2 and RFBR grant, project No 12-02-00121-a for partial support. Work of I.L.B was also partially supported by RFBR-Ukraine grant, project No 11-02-9045 and DFG grant, project LE 838/12-1. Work of Yu.M.Z was supported in parts by RFBR grant No. 11-02-00814.

References

[1] M. A. Vasiliev *"Higher Spin Gauge Theories in Various Dimensions",* Fortsch. Phys. **52** (2004) 702, arXiv:hep-th/0401177.

[2] D. Sorokin *"Introduction to the Classical Theory of Higher Spins",* AIP Conf. Proc. **767** (2005) 172, arXiv:hep-th/0405069.

[3] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, M. A. Vasiliev *"Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions",* arXiv:hep-th/0503128.

[4] A. Fotopoulos, M. Tsulaia *"Gauge Invariant Lagrangians for Free and Interacting Higher Spin Fields. A Review of the BRST formulation",* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A24** (2009) 1-60, arXiv:0805.1346[hep-th].

[5] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, P. Sundell *"How higher-spin gravity surpasses the spin two barrier: no-go theorems versus yes-go examples",* arXiv:1007.0435[hep-th].

[6] M. A. Vasiliev *"Consistent equations for interacting gauge fields of all spins in 3+1 dimensions",* Phys. Lett. **B243** (1990) 378.

[7] M. A. Vasiliev *"Higher-Spin Gauge Theories in Four, Three and Two Dimensions",* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D5** (1996) 763, arXiv:hep-th/9611024.

[8] M. A. Vasiliev *"Nonlinear Equations for Symmetric Massless Higher Spin Fields in* $(A)dS_d$*",* Phys. Lett. **B567** (2003) 139, arXiv:hep-th/0304049.

[9] C. Aragone, S. Deser *"Hypersymmetry in D=3 of coupled gravity-massless spin-5/2 system",* Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) L9.

[10] M. P. Blencowe *"A consistent interacting massless higher-spin field theory in D=2+1",* Class. Quant. Grav. **6** (1989) 443.

[11] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, S. Theisen *"Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields",* JHEP **11** (2010) 007, arXiv:1008.4744[hep-th].

[12] E.Witten *"(2+1)-Dimmensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System",* Nucl. Phys. **B311** (1988) 46.

[13] S. Prokushkin, M. Vasiliev *"Higher-Spin Gauge Interactions for Massive Matter Fields in 3D AdS Space-Time",* Nucl.Phys. **B545** (1999) 385, arXiv:hep-th/9806236.

[14] S. Prokushkin, A. Segal, M. Vasiliev *"Coordinate-Free Action for AdS3 Higher-Spin-Matter Systems",* Phys.Lett. **B478** (2000) 333-342, arXiv:hep-th/9912280.

[15] B. Chen, J. Long, J. Wu *"Spin-3 Topological Massive Gravity",* arXiv:1106.5141[hep-th].

[16] A. Bagchi, S. Lal, A. Saha, B. Sahoo *"Topologically Massive Higher Spin Gravity",* arXiv:1107.0915[hep-th].

[17] B. Chen, J. Long *"High Spin Topologically Massive Gravity",* JHEP **1112** (2011) 114, arXiv:1110.5113[hep-th].

[18] I. Tyutin, M. Vasiliev *Lagrangian formulation of irreducible massive fields of arbitrary spin in 2+1 diemsions,* Theor.Math.Phys. **113** (1997) 1244-1254, arXiv:hep-th/9704132

[19] R.R. Metsaev *Massive fields in* $AdS(3)$ *and compactification in AdS space,* Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. **102** (2001) 100-106, arXiv:hep-th/0103088.

[20] D. Francia, J. Mourad, A. Sagnotti *(A)dS exchanges and partically massless higher spins,* Nucl.Phys. **B804** (2008) 383-420, arXiv:0803.3832[hep-th].

[21] Yu. M. Zinoviev *"Frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive higher spin particles",* Nucl. Phys. **B808** (2009) 185, arXiv:0808.1778[hep-th].

[22] D. S. Ponomarev, M. A. Vasiliev *"Frame-Like Action and Unfolded Formulation for Massive Higher-Spin Fields",* Nucl. Phys. **B839** (2010) 466, arXiv:1001.0062[hep-th].

[23] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev *"Gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation of massive higher spin fields in* $(A)dS_3$ *space",* arXiv:1207.1215[hep-th].

[24] S. Deser, A. Waldron *"Partial Masslessness of Higher Spins in (A)dS",* Nucl. Phys. **B607** (2001) 577, arXiv:hep-th/0103198[hep-th].

[25] S. Deser, A. Waldron *"Null Propagation of Partially Massless Higher Spins in (A)dS and Cosmological Constant Speculations",* Phys. Lett. **B513** (2001) 137, arXiv:hep-th/0105181[hep-th].

[26] Yu. M. Zinoviev *"On Massive High Spin Particles in (A)dS",* arXiv:hep-th/0108192[hep-th].

[27] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev *"On the gravitational interaction of massless higher-spin fields",* Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 89.

[28] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev *"Cubic interaction in extended theories of massless higher-spin fields",* Nucl. Phys. **B291** (1987) 141.

[29] Yu. M. Zinoviev *"On massive gravity and bigravity in three dimensions"*, arXiv:1205.6892[hep-th].